



ALTITUDE — ANGEL

Industry Perspective: BVLOS - The regulatory landscape and the challenge for routine operations

21st Nov. 2019

Copyright© Altitude Angel 2019



ALTITUDE — ANGEL

The future of airspace management, today

LEISURE FACILITY PEDESTRIAN HAZARD

AIRCRAFT ON FINAL APPROACH

G-VFAB HEADING : 090 Alt : 60 m SPEED : 58.7 m/s

CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE

HIGHWAY TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE

AR AMBULANCE

Mike Gadd

Head of International Regulatory Affairs



ALTITUDE ANGEL

Regulatory Landscape

Copyright© Altitude Angel 2019





GLOBAL REGULATORY CONSIDERATIONS

Doc 7300/9



Convention on International Civil Aviation

Convention relative à l'aviation civile internationale

Convenio sobre Aviación Civil Internacional

Конвенция о международной гражданской авиации

> This document supersedes Doc 7300/8. Le présent document annule et remplace le Doc 7300/8 Este documento remplaza el Doc 7300/8. Hacrosauta документ заменяет Doc 7300/8.

Ninth Edition – Neuvième édition – Novena edición – Издание девятое — 2006

International Civil Aviation Organization Organisation de l'aviation civile internationale Organización de Aviación Civil Internacional Международная организация гражданской авиации <u>Article 1</u> - The contracting States recognize that every State has complete and exclusive sovereignty over the airspace above its **territory**.

(territory - land areas and territorial waters adjacent thereto under the sovereignty, suzerainty, protection or mandate of such State – Art. 2)

<u>Article 3a</u> - This Convention shall be applicable only to civil aircraft, and shall not be applicable to state aircraft.

<u>Article 8</u> - No aircraft capable of being flown without a pilot shall be flown without a pilot over the territory of a contracting State without special authorization by that State and in accordance with the terms of such authorization. Each contracting State undertakes to insure that the flight of such aircraft without a pilot in regions open to civil aircraft shall be so controlled as to obviate danger to civil aircraft.



GLOBAL REGULATIONS

GLOBAL REGULATION DEVELOPMENT









EASA Regulations – UAS (drones) published on 11 June 2019 -

- Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2019/945
- Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2019/947







Low risk

- No involvement of Aviation Authority
- Limitations : Visual line of sight, Maximum Altitude, distance from airport and sensitive zones

OPEN:

- Flight over Populated area is possible if:
- -No overflying of crowds
- -Industry standards

SPECIFIC

Increased risk

- Operations Authorisation with operations manual
- Specific qualification of drone, personnel, equipment based on safety assessment

CERTIFIED

Regulatory regime similar to manned aviation EASA and Authority Certificates



EASA U-Space Proposed Regs.





Regulations - Air Navigation Order (CAP 393)	
VLOS:	BVLOS:
Art 23 – Exceptions for certain classes of aircraft	All Other Articles of then ANO (as CAA determine (case by case) are applicable)
 (Art. 2, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 239, 241, 233, 257, 265, 266, 269) ➢ Art 2 - Interpretation ➢ Art. 94 (inc A - G) - Small unmanned 	 Permissions Exemptions
 Art. 95 - Small unmanned surveillance aircraft Art 241 - Endangering safety of any 	Guidance – CAP 722 Ed. 7 plus separate parts A & B
person or property	Innovation Hub: ➤ Innovation Gateway
 Registration & Competency (by end Oct '19) Electronic Conspicuity 	 Regulatory Sandbox Regulatory Lab



Summary





ALTITUDE ANGEL

Challenges For Routine Operations

Copyright© Altitude Angel 2019



Ops Centric

12

BVLOS Regulations – Operational Centric Approach

There are NO approvals for UAS focus of the Regulations and is responsible to address all aspects to gain **Permissions** and/or **Exemptions** to the Air Navigation Order

The Operator must address all regulatory compliance, via the use of the Operating Handbook (inc. the Operational Safety Case)

- Aircraft fitness for flight (airworthiness)
- Pilot Competency
- > Operational process/procedures

The variability between operators and uniqueness of many operations means

- > it can be difficult to define standard arguments
- the assessment process can be very subjective and inconsistent and the approach remains human centric – like ATM this is not scalable for routine volume operations



Compliance Demonstration

There is little guidance on:

- What Articles of the ANO need to be addressed
 - what Permissions are needed
 - what are not / can be Exempted
- Key objective is to show the operation is Safe (Safe Enough) Air and Ground Risks but there is little information on the appropriate Target Level of Safety (TLoS) that needs to be substantiated.

However, whatever the TLOS, I would suggest 3 key aspects must be addressed in support of BVLOS:

- Position Awareness (X, Y and Z dimensions)
 - Where is it, how is it position determined/obtained, how precise/accurate is it?
- Situation Awareness
 - How to know about external aspects could compromise safety, e.g. other aircraft or hazards, and appropriate actions to take ?
- Command and Control capability
 - How is command & control achieved legally and at distances operated ?
 - Is it available at the operating locations ?
- > Automation and reliance on data exchange/sharing and how to address this.



Airspace Design & Access



ConOps proposal -Three Airspaces types are proposed:

X: No conflict resolution or separation service is Y: Pre-flight conflict resolution is offered

Z: Pre-flight conflict resolution and in-flight separation are offered

14